

, ,
!

1. Minutes: November 22, 2016	Minutes approved as written.
2. Announcements a. New Course Proposal b. Notification of Proposed Requisites	<p>The following proposal was presented: AHS 60. Current AHS 200 course includes medical terminology but is being revised to remove that content. Bio Health noted course number might change, to avoid confusion with AHS 60A.</p> <p>Prerequisites for new MUS and THTR courses for 2017-18; also listed is an ongoing requisite, for which a Content Review form was not on file. Please share with your constituents.</p>
3. Consent Calendar a. GE Applications	<p>The following GE applications were presented: Area VI—ENGL 45A, 45B. Question regarding if courses are new—Language Arts noted courses were previously a three-course sequence and have been changed to two.</p> <p>Motion to approve (Cooper, Anderson).</p>
4. Noncredit Subject Codes	<p>Second read of document. Question as to why noncredit courses can't simply be designated as such in the course title, without having to be listed in a different section of catalog (e.g., EMS). Comment that if goal is to lessen confusion for students, adding "noncredit" to course title is clearer than using different</p>

Approved, January 24, 2017

recently-approved noncredit EMT certificate, which cannot be

Approved

Approved, January 24, 2017

noncredit certificate programs. Escoto noted that noncredit programs would not go to the transfer work group but that early discussion at that step may be useful. Workforce work group could be an option. LaManque noted that many noncredit programs are workforce-related and believes that group makes the most sense. Escoto noted that current documents list Academic & Professional Matters (APM) step (info-only) occurring after Division Dean review, and suggested that APM be moved to follow review by work group. LaManque noted that programs currently are not sent to Operations Planning Committee (OPC), which is currently listed as a step in the process.

Escoto noted that CCC review of new programs does not occur until late in the process, and CCC has recently voiced concerns, when reviewing program applications, that were not discussed earlier in the process. Suggested that we consider a way to include CCC getting new program info, early in the process. Comment that division CC should be involved earlier. LaManque clarified that the first part of the process, through Planning & Resource Committee (PARC)