1. Minutes: October 4, 2011	Minutes approved as written (Schultz, Hartwell) 3 abstentions (Messina, MacNeil, Lee)		
2. Announcements	absternions (Messina, Maciveil, Lee)		
a. Deadline review	a. FH GE applications for inclusion in 2012-13 catalog are due to Instruction Oct 31st; Need/Justification Statements are due Nov 15th; the deadline to submit new/revised courses to UC/CSU for IGETC/CSU GE Breadth patterns is Dec 1st; COR/Title 5 COR updates		
b. Curriculum Team Messaging	are due Dec 2nd.  b. The curriculum team (Cori, Bernie, Kimberlee & Carolyn) are going to try an experiment: after each meeting we will send a very brief "communiqué" with the intention of clarifying issues that need constituent feedback. This will NOT replace communication/minutes from division CCC reps.  c. State Academic Senate Area B meetings are Friday, October 21 and the Fall Plenary meeting is Nov 3,4 and 5 <sup>th</sup> in San Diego, CA. Important to get feedback about ASCCC resolutions packet to Dolores and Carolyn before plenary.		
3. Consent Calendar			
	<ul> <li>Consent Calendar:</li></ul>		
	Discussion: There were questions regarding the subcommittee process and decisions with regard to GE approval. Cammin reminded the members of the time and effort put in by many members to produce the applications we are now using and that the intent was not to continue the status quo, but to strengthen our GE. Current subcommittee approval process was implemented in order to facilitate review of the large number of courses being resubmitted under new GE criteria but once this is complete, committee may wish to discuss option of bringing GE approval back to CCC as a whole. Messina opined that one of CCC's major charges is to review and approve GE program.		
4. Prerequisite Implementation Options	THE PART OF THE PA		
	Feedback regarding prerequisite implementation options:		

ADDIOVEG, NOVELLIDEL I. ZUT	Approved.	November	1.	2011
-----------------------------	-----------	----------	----	------

5. SB 1143 - Student Success Taskforce Recommendations

BHS and PSME wants to have options to let faculty choose whether to use content review alone OR content review plus statistical validation; BSS likes content review but either option is fine with them. Reps charged with continuing to gather feedback. Action next mtg.

Reps encouraged to call in to one of the two upcoming Webinars: 10/19 from 4-5:30 and 10/20, 3-4:30. They will go thru highlights of the recs and discuss implications. The suggestion was made to invite Rich Hansen to the next mtg to get more information as he was on the task force that developed. It was pointed out that this issue is huge and perhaps the entire FH community should hear this information and have discussion. The Oct 31<sup>st</sup> Academic Senate mtg will be devoted to this topic so reps encouraged to attend, and to invite constituents. Please