

Program Review Committee Recommendations (PRC) to
the Planning and Resource Council (PaRC)

Foothill College

April 20, 2016

This file contains the recommended (to PaRC) responses from PRC to the units completing a comprehensive program review in 2015-16.

For each unit the PRC feedback includes commendations, suggestions for improvement, and recommendations.

Submitted by PRC:

Committee TriChairs: Jiatong Li (Classified), Simon Pennington * (Faculty),
Andrew LaManque (Administrator)

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, March 21, 2016

Accounting

DRAFT

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports the college mission, educational master plan, and equity goals.

is the 2

nd comprehensive program review within this accreditation cycle.

PRC commends the department for its analysis of the SLO and PLO data

Suggestions for Improvement

Recommendation– Green

PRC recommends the department complete the PISLO reflections for 201415 and submit them for PRC review by June 15.

The program is recommended to continue in the regular program review cycle.

Program Review Committee (PRC) Members:

Justin Schultz Andrew Lamanque Vinita Bali Kevin Harral Jiatong Li Simon Pennington Cara Miyasaki Craig Gawlick Michelle Palma Gaudia Flores Elaine Kuo

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, March 30, 2016
Admissions and Records

DRAFT

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports the college mission, educational master plan, and equity goals.

The Program Review Committee read and discussed each comprehensive program review during a series of meetings in winter 2016. Our feedback is outlined below. We would be happy to meet with the department if there are any questions.

Commendations / Strengths

PRC commends the department for doing a good job addressing student equity efforts and working to foster a sense of community for the students served.

PRC notes that the department has

Recommendation– Green

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, April 16, 2016

Apprenticeship

DRAFT

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports the college mission, educational master plan, and equity goals.

The Program Review Committee read and discussed each comprehensive program review during a series of meetings in winter 2016. Our fee8T.tn -0.003 Tw T* [(P)-7(R)-3(C)-3(c)-4(om)2(p)-1

ves to increase
(ethnicity and gender).

gtqrCara

Suggestions for Improvement

PRC suggests further research be conducted into the decline in applications from African Americans, Hispanic/Latino and Filipino/Pacific -Islanders.

PRC suggests the department address the decline in program/certificate completion in the next program review.

PRC suggests more work be done to document the nontranscriptable awards and explain the differences in the figures cited in the program review document and what the college submits to the state Chancellors Office.

PRC suggests that research and analysis (using the program review data sheets) be conducted that disaggregates the data by demographics for each program. For example, about one-fourth of the Sound & Communication (APSC) program students have previously earned a degree (associate and higher). Ironworkers (APIW) is primarily Latino (64%) and not White (28%) enrollment. Pipe Trades, Sheet Metal, Field Ironworkers (APPR) has declined in enrollment by 20%; enrollment decline is also seen in APSC (20%). Additional explanation would be helpful in supporting the statement in the program review document that "the job outlook has been the best since the boom of the late 1980s."

PRC notes that the college level comparisons for course success trends were completed. While there has been a decline in African American and Latino enrollment in APPR, this trend does not appear as evident in the other Apprenticeship programs.

Recommendation— **Green***

PRC rated the for Data Trends & Analysis section of the program review documents as a Yellow due to the drop in certificate completion and declining enrollment in some areas.

PRC recommends that the department submit an analysis of disaggregated program enrollment, course success, and award trends to PRC by October 15, 2016.

The program is recommended to continue in the regular program review cycle.

* reviewed by PRC without VPI comments

Program Review Committee (PRC) Members:

Justin Schultz Andrew Lamanque Vinita Bali Kevin Harra Jiatong Li Simon Pennington Cara Miyasaki Craig Gawlich Michelle Palma Claudia Flores Elaine Kuo

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, March 30, 2016
Athletics (Student Services)

DRAFT

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports the college mission, educational master plan, and equity goals.

The Program Review Committee read and discussed each comprehensive program review during a series of meetings in winter 2016. Our feedback is outlined below. We would be happy to meet with the department if there are any questions.

Commendations / Strengths

PRC commends the department for its student equity efforts (counseling, progress reports, and mentorship by coaches)

Suggestions for Improvement

PRC suggests that in the next program review the department clarify the 42% standard that is mentioned in Question 1.1K

Recommendation – **Green**

PRC rated the Outcomes Assessment and Outcomes Reflections of the program review documents as a yellow due to the lack of assessment of SLOs (the lack of assessment was noted in program review document, as new SLOs have been developed)

PRC recommends that every athletics course have a completed assessment (L-SLO) within the three-year program review cycle (2015) and that the assessments be submitted to the PRC for review by June 15, 2016.

The program is recommended to continue in the regular program review cycle.

Program Review Committee (PRC) Members:

Justin Schultz, Andrew Lamanque, Vinita Bai, Kevin Harra, Jiatong Li, Simon Pennington, Cara Miyasaki, Craig Gawlich, Michelle Palma, Claudia Flores, Elaine Kuo

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, March 29, 2016
Biology

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others
We4.94d [(T)-6,

PRC suggests the department continue to monitor enrollment (perhaps starting with what might be the contributing factors to changes in enrollment for the BIO 40 series) and consider new strategies for recruitment if needed.

Recommendation— **Green**

The program is recommended to continue in the regular program review cycle.

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, April 3 , 2016
Business and Education Partnerships

DRAFT

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports the college mission, educational master plan, and equity goals.

The Program Review Committee read and discussed each comprehensive program review during a series of meetings in winter 2016. Our feedback is outlined below. We would be happy to meet with the department if there are any questions.

Commendations / Strengths

PRC commends the unit for its positive trend on the number of students served.

PRC commends the unit on serving a diverse

Recommendation– Yellow

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, March 30, 2016
Classified Senate

DRAFT

Program Review Committee read and discussed each comprehensive program review
meetings in winter 2016. Our feedback is outlined below. We would be
in the department if there are any questions.

Commendations / Strengths

with members on ways to best communicate about

information from the President to develop a survey regarding participation,
engagement, etc.

Recommendation— Yellow

PRC recommends that the department complete ASLO reflections for 2014-15 and submit
them for PRC review by June 15, 2016.

PRC recommends that members from the Classified Senate meet with PRC in Fall 2016 to
discuss their next comprehensive review as well as suggested changes to their ASLO
assessment/reflection methodology

The program is recommended to complete an Off-Cycle Comprehensive Program
Review for 2016-17.

Program Review Committee (PRC) Members:

Justin Schultz, Andrew Lamanque, Vinita Bali, Kevin Harra, Jiatong Li, Simon Pennington, Cara
Miyasaka, Craig Gawlic, Michelle Palma, Claudia Flores, Elaine Kuo

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, March 29, 2016
Computer Science

DRAFT

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports the college mission, educational masterplan, and equity goals.

The Program Review Committee read and discussed each comprehensive program review during a series of meetings in winter 2016. Our feedback is outlined below. We would be happy to meet with the department if there are any questions.

Commendations / Strengths

PRC commends the department on a generally well-written and thoughtful reflection about the department / program

PRC appreciates the work being regarding increasing enrollment of women in STEM majors and courses

PRC notes the departments has written thoughtful and thorough student learning outcome (SLO) assessment summaries and reflections.

PRC congratulates the department on the approval of the AD in Computer Science.

PRC commends the department for its increases in enrollment and for continuing to keep the curriculum current.

PRC notes that the department made clear resource request connections throughout the document.

Suggestions for Improvement

PRC suggests that at its next meeting it discuss how equitably resource requests might

directly (addressed to) 2. Andrea Barrios, 3. Elizabeth Sosa, 4. Benji Tupper, 5. Craig Miyasaki, 6. Michelle Palma, 7. Claudia Flores, 8. Elaine Kuo

PRC suggests the department develop, with the Dean, a detailed staffing/ recruitment plan for finding quality adjunct faculty.

PRC notes that no requests for professional development funding were made and wondered whether this was an oversight?

PRC suggests that the next program review include greater discussion about the Sunnyvale Center and the department's involvement.

Recommendation– Green

PRC rated the Student Equity section of the program review document as a yellow for due to the low success rates for targeted [disproportionately impacted] student groups.

The program is recommended to continue in the regular program review cycle.

Program Review Committee (PRC) Members:

Justin Schultz, Andrew Lamanque, Vinita Bali, Kevin Harral, Jiatong Li, Simon Pennington, Cara Miyasaka, Craig Gawlich, Michelle Palma, Claudia Flores, Elaine Kuo

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, April 3 , 2016
Dean – Biological and Health Sciences e5

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, April 3 , 2016
Dean – Business and Social Sciences

Commendations / Strengths

Suggestions for Improvement

PRC suggests continued collaboration with the English

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, April 3 , 2016
Dean –

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, April 3 , 2016
Dean – Physical Sciences, Mathematics and Engineering (PSME)

DRAFT

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports

Commendations / Strengths

PRC commends the unit for a program review document that clearly outlined the division's equity efforts

PRC appreciates the global, holistic approach to the program review

PRC notes the clear rationale for the requests made in the document (regarding staffing)

PRC commends the unit for clear and thorough AU-SLO assessment and reflections

PRC applauds the initiative to support the recruitment and orientation of parttime faculty outlined in the new objectives section.

Suggestions for Improvement

None.

Recommendation– Green *

The program is recommended to continue in the regular program review cycle.

* reviewed by PRC without VPI comments

Program Review Committee (PRC) Members:
Justin Schultz

Foothill College 2014-

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, March 21, 2106

Economics

DRAFT

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports the college mission, educational master plan, and equity goals.

The Program Review Committee read and discussed each comprehensive program review during a series of meetings in winter 2016. Our feedback is outlined below. We would be happy to meet with the department if there are any questions.

Commendations / Strengths

PRC commends the department for the approach they have taken in assessing the PLOs through the use of pretests and posttests across various ECON courses.

PRC notes that the updated Economics department website should be helpful to students as they look for prerequisite and other information about departmental courses.

PRC commends the department for its efforts to reach out to students to improve success rates, including through the use of embedded tutoring, and special programs (e.g. India).

PRC commends the department for its innovative curriculum and its support for the campuswide drive for equity.

Suggestions for Improvement

Following the rubric guidelines, the PRC rated the Data & Trend Analysis & Institutional Standards sections of the program review document as a yellow due to declining enrollments and course success rates below the standard (particularly online courses). These two areas should receive enhanced monitoring in the future. More research is warranted to understand why the decline in enrollment was higher for African American, Latino, and

PRC wonders if the SLO reflections could include more analysis of the data- all SLOs should be assessed and reflected on and include a more thorough discussion of the data that details why “no action needed as we were satisfied.”

PRC supports the VPI recommendations to address low online course success rates such as a departmental meeting to review the data and discuss online course quality, and to explore tutoring support for Economics.

PRC concurs with the need to involve adjunct faculty more visibly in the efforts to increase student success rates

Recommendation– Green

The program is recommended to continue in the regular program review cycle.

Program Review Committee (PRC) Members:

Justin Schultz, Andrew LaManque, Vinita Bai, Kevin Harra

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, March 30, 2016
Educational Center (Middlefield and the Sunnyvale Center)

DRAFT

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports the college mission, educational master plan, and equity goals.

The Program Review Committee read and discussed each comprehensive program review during a series of meetings in winter 2016. Our feedback is outlined below. We would be happy to meet with the department if there are any questions.

Commendations / Strengths

PRC notes that after the discussion with PRC the department did a good job on the revised program review addressing the previous year activities at the Middlefield Campus as well as documenting and discussing the planning for the transition to the Sunnyvale Center

Suggestions for Improvement

PRC supports the suggestions from the VPI that the department provide a clear outline of how students will access all available services at the Sunnyvale Center and provide a

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback

year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. We appreciate your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review was conducted throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports the college's educational master plan and equity goals.

The Program Review Committee read and discussed each component of the program during a series of meetings in winter 2016. Our feedback is our best effort. We are happy to meet with the department if there are any questions.

Commendations / Strengths

PRC commends the program for the work done with Institutional Research (looking at data and discussing how to improve outcomes) to improve the success rates from the clinical rotation and coordination sections.

PRC applauds the effort to secure approval for a non-credit certificate.

PRC appreciates the detail provided regarding productivity changes and concerns.

Suggestions for Improvement

PRC suggests continued monitoring of enrollment as enrollment and course success has shown some decline.

PRC suggests department faculty follow up with the Office of Instruction to ensure that the non-credit certificate receives all the proper approvals to be offered.

PRC supports the Dean's recommendation that the program continue efforts to increase enrollment and success rates among targeted (disproportionately impacted) student groups.

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, March 30, 2016

English

DRAFT

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports the college mission, educational master plan, and equity goals.

The Program Review Committee read and discussed each comprehensive program review during a series of meetings in winter 2016. Our feedback is outlined below. We would be happy to meet with the department if there are any questions.

Commendations / Strengths

PRC compliments the department

Suggestions for Improvement

PRC suggests the department consider ways in which students can be notified of scheduling patterns as the department has diverse curriculum and not all courses can be run every term.

Recommendation— **Green**

PRC rated the Outcomes Assessment and Outcomes Reflections of the program review documents as a yellow due to the lack of assessment of P-SLO # 2E within current 3-year cycle

PRC recommends the department work with the SLO Committee for assistance in conducting program level assessments and provide them for PRC review by June 15, 2016.

The program is recommended to continue in the regular program review cycle.

Program Review Committee (PRC) Members:

Justin Schultz, Andrew Lamanque, Vinita Bali, Kevin Harra, Jiatong Li, Simon Penington, Cara Miyasaki, Craig Gawlich, Michelle Palma, Claudia Flores, Elaine Kuo

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, March 30, 2016
Extended Opportunity Programs and Services (EOPS)

DRAFT

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students.

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, April 4, 2016
English as a Second Language (ESL)

DRAFT

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports the college mission, educational master plan, and equity goals.

The Program Review Committee read and discussed each comprehensive program review during a series of meetings in late 2016. Our feedback will be below. We would be happy to meet with the department if there are any questions.

PRC commends early initiatives regarding ESLL listening and speaking courses. Commendations / Strengths.

Suggestions for Improvement

PRC is concerned about declining enrollment and recommends the department develop a plan for identifying its primary student audience (considering both native and international students) and curricular focus, consistent with the college mission statement and Educational Master Plan Goals. The plan might outline steps towards developing alternative pathways and modes of instruction and locations to best serve students. The plan might also outline how the resource request: Full-time NCEL Instructor/ Coordinator, Full-time ESLL Composition Instructor, Full-time ESLL Instructor

The program is recommended to complete a Out-Of-Cycle Comprehensive Program Review for 2016/17.

Program Review Committee (PRC) Members:

Justin Schultz Andrew Lamanque Vinita Bai Kevin Harral Jiatong Li Simon Pennington Cara Miyasaki Craig Gawlick Michelle Palma Gaudia Flores Elaine Kuo

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, March 30, 2016
Financial Aid

DRAFT

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports the college mission, educational masterplan, and equity goals.

The Program Review Committee read and discussed each comprehensive program review during a series of meetings in winter 2016. Our feedback is outlined below. We would be happy to meet with the department if there are any questions.

Commendations / Strengths

PRC commends the office for their work and partnership with Institutional Research to identify ways to better serve students

Suggestions for Improvement

PRC suggests that the department discuss the pathway for ~~only~~ students to receive Financial Aid assistance in its next program review.

PRC suggests that the next program review include a discussion of student ~~support~~ at the new Sunnyvale Center.

PRC notes that ~~no~~ SA-SLO assessment was done in 2015 due to 50% staff turnover and the implementation of a new scholarship system. The department has included follow-up (action) plans in TracDat for Spring 2016 (a survey)

PRD supports Dean's comment about the importance of gathering additional data for analysis and discussion.

Recommendation— **Green**

The program is recommended to continue in the regular program review cycle.

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016

We know a lot of work but we
through efforts college level
educational master plan and equity goals

The Program Review Committee read a
during a series of meetings in winter 2016
happy to meet with the department if the

Commendations / Strengths

PRC commends the authors for their efforts to address the course success rates below the college aspirational goal through interventions such as the STEM Center, Math

discussed by PRC:

How many students completing the General Studies: Science degrees are dual majors award recipients?

How many and to what institutions are these students transferring?

Why do students select this program (survey / focus group research)

How many students have this program in their educational plan?

PRC suggests faculty participants from the program work with the faculty equity coordinator and perhaps the SD committee to conduct program level

PRC recommends that Section 2E and PLSLO assessment and reflection be completed and sent to PRC by June 15, 2016.

The program is recommended to complete an Off-Cycle Comprehensive Program Review for 2016-2017.

* reviewed by PRC without VPI comments

Program Review Committee (PRC) Members:

Justin Schultz Andrew Lamanque Vinita Bai Kevin Harra Jiatong Li Simon Pennington Cara Miyasaki Craig Gawlick Michelle Palma Claudia Flores Elaine Kuo

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, March 30, 2016

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, March 30, 2016
Environmental Horticulture and Design

DRAFT

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports the college mission, educational master plan, and equity goals.

The Program Review Committee read and discussed each comprehensive program review during a series of meetings in winter 2016. Our feedback is outlined below. We would be happy to meet with the department if there are any questions.

Commendations / Strengths

PRC commends the department for a well-written and thoughtful reflection about the department's student enrollment and how student demographics can affect student achievement outcomes.

Suggestions for Improvement

PRC suggests the department please use a different choice of wording to describe students (e.g. "students with special needs" and/or "better students") when referencing specific student populations; this is a public document.

PRC suggests continued monitoring of course success rates for African American, Latino, and Filipino students.

PRC supports the departments' exploration of collaboration with the Spanish department.

PRC suggests the department explore more culturally diverse content as a way to attract a more diverse student population.

PRC hopes the department will give more thought to possible transfer students and curriculum that aligns with 4 year degree programs.

PRC hopes the department can encourage more meaningful discussion among all faculty in the department.

Program Review Committee (PRC) Members:

Justin Schultz, Andrew Lamanque, Vinita Bali, Kevin Harra, Jiatong Li, Simon Pennington, Cara Miyasaki, Craig Gawlich, Michelle Palma, Claudia Flores, Elaine Kuo

Recommendation– Green

PRC rated the Outcomes Assessment and Outcomes Reflections of the program review documents as yellow due to very few 2014/15 reflections for HORT course level SLOs (CL-SLOs).

The program is recommended to continue in the regular program review cycle.

Program Review Committee (PRC) Members:

Justin Schultz, Andrew Lamanque, Vinita Bali, Kevin Harral, Jiatong Li, Simon Pennington, Cara Miyasaki, Craig Gawlich, Michelle Palma, Claudia Flores, Elaine Kuo

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, March 29, 2016
Krause Center for Innovation (KCI)

DRAFT

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports the college mission, educational master plan, and equity goals.

The Program Review Committee read and discussed each comprehensive program review during a series of meetings in winter 2016. Our feedback is outlined below. We would be happy to meet with the department if there are any questions.

Commendations / Strengths

PRC commends the department for a much improved program review (compared to last year).

PRC notes similar success rates between male and female students in online courses

PRC commends the department for its high overall student course success rates (and high targeted student group course success rates)

PRC congratulates the department on its contributions to the College Workforce Mission and for beginning to reach out to other parts of the College and increase awareness

PRC thanks the department for maintaining open computer lab and study space for Foothill students

PRC commends the department on its innovative spirit which comes through in the program review document.

Suggestions for Improvement

PRC suggests that the department continue to explore with other departments the possibility of including LinC courses as curricular options for students enrolled in other degree programs

Program Review Committee (PRC) Members:

Justin Schultz, Andrew Lamanque, Vinita Bali, Kevin Harra, Jiatong Li, Simon Bennington, Cara Miyasaki, Craig Gawlich, Michelle Palma, Claudia Flores, Elaine Kuo

PRC concurs with the Presidents comment that the new courses approved for the LinC Certificate should result in a more streamlined curriculum that will better serve the needs of a broad audience of students.

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, March 30, 2016
Kinesiology and Athletic Injury Care

DRAFT

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports the college mission, educational master plan, and equity goals.

The Program Review Committee read and discussed each comprehensive program review during a series of meetings in winter 2016. Our feedback is outlined below. We would be happy to meet with the department if there are any questions.

Commendations / Strengths

Not Evaluated –see note below.

Suggestions for Improvement

Kinesiology and Athletic Injury Care each submitted an annual program review document; the department needs to submit a combined comprehensive program review prior to evaluation by PRC

Recommendation – **Rec**

Incomplete

The program is recommended to complete an out-of-cycle program review in 2016-17.

Program Review Committee (PRC) Members:

Justin Schultz, Andrew Lamanque, Vinita Bali, Kevin Harra, Jiatong Li, Simon Pennington, Cara Miyasaki, Craig Gawlich, Michelle Palma, Claudia Flores, Elaine Kuo

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, March 30, 2016

Marketing and Public Relations

DRAFT

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, April 3 , 2016

Music General

DRAFT

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports the college mission, educational masterplan, and equity goals.

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, April 4 , 2016

Online Learning

DRAFT

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports the college mission, educational masterplan, and equity goals.

The Program Review Committee read and discussed each comprehensive program review during a series of meetings in winter 2016. Our feedback is outlined below. We would be happy to meet with the department if there are any questions.

Commendations / Strengths

PRC notes that the departments' efforts are integral to the growth of the College

PRC appreciates that the various areas of what the department does (and associated tasks) are well-detailed.

PRC appreciates the evidence and documentation provided in the program review for the additional staff request.

Suggestions for Improvement

PRC suggests that the department's efforts to assist faculty are critical to student equity efforts and this might be better woven into the responses for the next program review document.

PRC suggests that the department consider conducting research to examine the types of students that are taking online

Recommendation– Green

PRC rated the Data Trend and Analysis section of program review documents as a yellow because Section 1.2 Instructional Program Data and Enrollment (using the college online program review data sheet) was not completed. While the department does not directly supervise discipline faculty, it does support all online sections and its insights for improvement would be valuable. An analysis of college trends for online course success rates disaggregated by student groups, in particular, would be important for the department to conduct and consider in its work with faculty.

The program is recommended to continue in the regular program review cycle.

Program Review Committee (PRC) Members:

Justin Schultz, Andrew Lamanque, Vinita Bali, Kevin Harrah, Jiatong Li, Simon Pennington, Cara Miyasaki, Craig Gawlich, Michelle Palma, Claudia Flores, Elaine Kuo

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, April 4, 2016

Pass the Torch

DRAFT

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports the college mission, educational masterplan, and equity goals.

The Program Review Committee read and discussed each comprehensive program review during a series of meetings in winter 2016. Our feedback is outlined below. We would be happy to meet with the department if there are any questions.

Commendations / Strengths

PRC appreciates the program's efforts to address concerns noted last year

PRC commends the program for its assistance to underrepresented student populations and its contribution as an important part of the College's equity agenda

PRC commends both Natalia Menendez and Nicole a multitude of methodologies to highlight the impact of the program.

PRC suggests that the program continue to look for ways to partner with the other services at the College

Recommendation— **Green***

PRC rated the Data Trends & Analysis section of the program review documents as a Yellow due to declining enrollment.

The program is recommended to continue in the regular program review cycle.

Program Review Committee (PRC) Members:

Justin Schultz, Andrew Lamanque, Vinita Bali, Kevin Harra, Jiatong Li, Simon Pennington, Cara Miyasaki, Craig Gawlick

* reviewed by PRC without VPI comments

Program Review Committee (PRC) Members:
Justin Schultz Andrew Lamanque Vinita Bai Kevin Harral Jiatong Li Simon Pennington

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, April 4 , 2016
Physical Education

DRAFT

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports the college mission, educational masterplan, and equity goals.

The Program Review Committee read and discussed each comprehensive program review during a series of meetings in winter 2016. Our feedback is outlined below. We would be happy to meet with the department if there are any questions.

Commendations / Strengths

PRC congratulates the department on the recently approved Personal Training Certificate. The approval was many years in the making and will provide a valuable option for our students.

PRC congratulates the department on the approval of the AD -T in Kinesiology.

PRC notes that the department has a high overall student course success rate compared to the college standard.

PRC appreciates the thorough summary of the accomplishments of the Dance program and its contribution to the College

Suggestions for Improvement

PRC suggests that the department examine the course families in regards to impact on repeatability; the initial drop in enrollment was likely due to the changes in the repeatability policy, but enrollment has continued to drop; there also needs to be greater discussion regarding low-enrolled courses

PRC suggests the department initiate additional research on who the students in PHED are and how many are actually affected by repeatability issues. The research survey might also seek information on what the students want to take and when.

Program Review Committee (PRC) Members:

Justin Schultz, Andrew Lamanque, Vinita Bali, Kevin Harra, Jiatong Li, Simon Pennington, Cara Miyasaki, Craig Gawlich, Michelle Palma, Claudia Flores, Elaine Kuo

PRC suggest the department conduct additional discussions regarding the program review process for Physical Education, Kinesiology and Athletic Injury Care, as there is appears to be overlap in courses, instructors, and discussion in the program review documents.

Recommendation– Yellow

PRC recommends that the department complete the PLO assessment and reflections as well as Section 1H and submit the materials to PRC by June 15, 2016.

PRC recommends that PHED schedule a meeting with PRC in the Spring 2016 term

The program is recommended to complete an Out-Cycle Comprehensive Program Review for 2016-17.

Program Review Committee (PRC) Members:

Justin Schultz Andrew Lamanque Vinita Bai Kevin Harra Jiatong Li Simon Pennington Cara Miyasaki Craig Gawlich Michelle Palma Claudia Flores Elaine Kuo

Commendations / Strengths

PRC commends the department for the work done to produce a much improved program review document (out-of-cycle comprehensive)

PRC applauds the department for its new ideas for increasing student success

PRC notes that the department addressed the

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, April 18, 2016

President's Office

DRAFT

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports the college mission, educational masterplan, and equity goals.

The Program Review Committee read and discussed each comprehensive program review during a series of meetings in winter 2016. Our feedback is outlined below. We would be happy to meet with the department if there are any questions.

Commendations / Strengths

PRC did not evaluate.

Suggestions for Improvement

PRC did not evaluate.

Recommendation— NA

Staffing transitions in 201516 made it difficult for the department to complete a thorough and evaluative comprehensive report. In weighing the overall goals of the comprehensive review process which require thoroughness and accuracy, over timeliness, it was determined by PRC that the comprehensive report should be delayed until the 2017 cycle in order to meet the overa

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, April 4 , 2016

Psychology

DRAFT

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others

;Mita Bi ;Kvin Hral ;

Foothill College 2014

year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports the college mission, educational master plan, and equity.

Recommendation– Green

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, April 13, 2016

Student Success and Outreach

DRAFT

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports the college mission, educational master plan, and equity goals.

The Program Review Committee read and discussed each comprehensive program review during a series of meetings in winter 2016. Our feedback is outlined below. We would be happy to meet with the department if there are any questions.

Commendations / Strengths

Not Evaluated.

Suggestions for Improvement

Not Evaluated.

Recommendation – NA

Due to organizational changes this program was not evaluated.

Staffing transitions in 2015/16 made it difficult for the department to complete a thorough and evaluative comprehensive report. In weighing the overall goals of the comprehensive review process which require thoroughness and accuracy, over timeliness, it was determined by PRC that the comprehensive report should be delayed until the 2016/17 cycle in order to meet the overall goals of the comprehensive program review process.

The program is recommended to be included in the next program review for the new organization in which it will be housed.

Program Review Committee (PRC) Members:

Justin Schultz, Andrew Lamanque, Vinita Bali, Kevin Harra, Jiatong Li, Simon Pennington, Cara Miyasaki, Craig Gawlich, Michelle Palma, Claudia Flores, Elaine Kuo

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, April 3 , 2016

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, March 30, 2016
Vice President for Instruction and Institutional Research

DRAFT

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports the college mission, educational master plan, and equity goals.

The Program Review Committee read and discussed each comprehensive program review during a series of meetings in winter 2016. Our feedback is outlined below. We would be happy to meet with the department if there are any questions.

Commendations / Strengths

The PRC commends the Office of the VP of Instruction and Research (OVPIR) for its work on the program review template and process, which has transitioned into a meaningful document for purposes of program planning, SLO/PLO review, equity strategies, and resource allocation. The PRC notes the program review process is still evolving with further efforts for improvement in the future.

docstaff.

The PRC commends the OVPIR on the increase of the ADs in several departments, which was assisted by the Articulation Office.

Suggestions for Improvement

PRC suggests that the department articulate an enrollment (growth) plan and communicate this with the College; this plan might outline connections between academic programs and possible growth in enrollment and curriculum

PRC suggests that the department, perhaps through PaRC or the quarterly newsletter, communicate how the VPI addresses enrollment

Foothill College 2014-15 Comprehensive Program Review
Program Review Committee Feedback Winter 2016, March 30, 2016
Women's Studies

DRAFT

Thank you for your time and effort in completing the Comprehensive Program Review this year. We know it is a lot of work but we hope it has been helpful. Thank you for your ongoing efforts to improve on behalf of our students. The program review allows others throughout the college to learn about your work and how it supports the college mission, educational masterplan, and equity goals.

The Program Review Committee read and discussed each comprehensive program review during a series of meetings in winter 2016. Our feedback is outlined below. We would be happy to meet with the department if there are any questions.

Commendations / Strengths

PRC compliments the approach the department is taking in assessing the SLOs through the use of pretests and post-tests across various courses

PRC commends the department for contributing to the diversity of subject matter available in the G.E. course offerings (particularly with the proposal to offer Women in Sports course)

PRC applauds the department's involvement in the proposed Social Justice AD.

Suggestions for Improvement

* reviewed by PRC without VPI comments

Program Review Committee (PRC) Members:
Justin Schultz Andrew Lamanque Vinita Bai Kevin Harral Jiatong Li Simon Pennington