

Participatory Governance Leaders Meeting
Administration Building / Room 1901 / President's Conference Room
1:30 – 3:00 PM / First and Third Wednesdays

April 23 – 24, 2015 Foothill College Beyond Diversity Seminar
April 29, 2015 – Authors Series: Meera Ekkananth Klein

*For clarity purposes, the Educational Strategic Master Plan (ESMP) will now be referred to as the Educational Master Plan (EMP).

Behrouz Amirbadvy, Bernata Slater, Bernie Day, Carolyn Holcroft, Clare Tang, Craig Gawlick, David Evans, Debbie Lee, Evelynn Chun, Josh Rosales, Judy Miner, Karen Smith, Kurt Hueg, Paul Starer, Robert Cormia, Sarah Munoz, Teresa Ong, Victor Tam

Andrea Hanstein, Andrew LaManque, Casie Wheat, Denise Swett, Elaine Kuo, John Mummert, Kimberlee Messina, Nanette Solvason

Jon Rubin

President Judy Miner welcomed PaRC members to the April 15 meeting.

Miner presented the March 18 PaRC minutes for approval. Associate Vice President Andrew LaManque requested the correction of line four of Item 6: Review of Institutional Standards. LaManque stated that a standard should be defined as a minimum expectation or floor for the goal. March 18, 2015 Minutes approved with edits by consensus.

LaManque presented the Dental Hygiene Substantive Change Proposal for approval. Dean of Biological & Health Sciences Nanette Solvason asked if Dental Hygiene had to submit a program proposal for curriculum changes. Miner stated that due to the nature of the pilot program, the college would work with the current internal curriculum practices before submitting changes to the State. L

materials posted online presented an informational package on the program review process. LaManque highlighted some examples of the types of suggestions that PRC made on program reviews. Overall, four programs were recommended to submit comprehensive reviews next year. Associated Students of Foothill College (ASFC) Senator Behrouz Amirbadvy asked why only some program reviews were being reviewed. LaManque reminded PaRC that only the comprehensive program reviews were presented today and that annual program reviews could be found online. Transfer Workgroup Administrative Chair Kurt Hueg asked how the campus could support the program review process and recommended holding program review workshops. LaManque commented that another option could be to have programs present their reviews to PRC.

Commenting on the Spanish Department's program review, Starer asked if there was a space for mitigating the feedback provided in the reviews. Messina commented that in terms of the PRC process, programs could continue to document positive developments and work with their dean to establish a plan for the program's future. ASFC Senator David Evans asked if there was a mechanism to help programs interact with PRC for the purposes of meeting the needs of each individual program. Mummert commented that it was not PRC's charge to help programs succeed; instead, PRC was tasked with notifying the campus of programs that were receiving a yellow or red rating. Lamanque replied that PRC made suggestions to each program

Miner presented the President's Prioritizations. Regarding the Classified Senate request for video conferencing equipment, Miner recommended the use of Zoom, which was a free service for community college staff. After Miner reviewed the requests for the Transfer Center, Swett noted that the center would be named the Transfer and Career Center, and not the Transitions Center. Regarding the Marketing Department's request for website design funding, Slater stated that District funds would not be used. Hueg asked if one consultant could be hired to work on the websites of both De Anza and Foothill. Director of Marketing and Public Relations Andrea Hanstein replied that the colleges could work with the same consultant; however, each college reserved the right to choose their own consultants. Student Trustee Evelyn Chun commented that having similar designs for the