

Starer – noted that he asked for and received more FTEF and actually offered more classes. ENG 1C was offered in winter and spring. It is required at UC Berkeley, but when Berkeley announces who has been admitted, half of the students drop the class. If we were on a semester system it would be different - but 2 sections in spring would be difficult. It is true that all English classes were full and weight listed, but even with old schedule there has generally been more demand.

Miner – FTEF was discussed at Chancellor Staff yesterday – initial data from FH and DA about FT not used. Are looking forward to 10-11 with greater productivity and dollars left over that would fund 1320 – proposed that we allow that to roll over into 10-11 year giving us a greater capacity to offer more courses. We decreased schedule by 5% or 200 classes. CSU turning away 40,000 students. Will have to verify those dollars are there.

Garrido – if it didn't roll over what would happen to it?

Miner - would drop to bottom line at district and will hold on to to cover other expenses or stability fund.

Erickson - would roll over be campus specific?

Miner – no, although we would have more capacity to grow our schedule than DA.

Garrido – is FT hire directly related to offerings?

Miner – would mean more sections if we allocated more FTEF

Starer – Librarians have pointed out difference between director and coordinator – There will be a correction to title of librarian to “Coordinator.”

Miner – Engineering and English positions could have multiple qualifications. Those kinds of considerations should be noted.

Sias – not everyone replied to the positions ranking survey and that was to be revisited and if they did not speak up. Where is that before we move on? Did the students not understand what the process was?

Courtney – students are aware of the guidelines and did understand the process to base decision –can't speak as to why they didn't respond.

Miner – 12 out of 18 voting members voted – do we need to re vote the entire list?

By show of hands – who is willing to endorse the top 6?
13 yes, no oppositions, 1 abstention
The top 6 will go forward for hiring for the 10-11 academic year.

Miner – addressing the remainder of the list – do you want to go forward and vote again on those?

Davison – with changes made to the list that changes consideration of the list.

Starer – if we can get 8 instead of 6 also a factor for that decision.

Miner – still have 4 open positions - we might be looking at positions that could be filled mid year - not likely but prefer to be ready. We are filling 12 positions this year. Feel fairly confident that we can fill 6 positions on the list due to retirements, resignations etc.

Barker – would it be acceptable to do what we did last year....we would have another vote on what may happen in the next few months...ie retirements. Would give us some flexibility for future changes.

Miner – lets leave list alone and not try to re-rank it right now.

Starer – For people putting in requests that are currently not in existence - how do we insure it comes to PaRC?

Barker – would have to do this process in the fall.

Miner - if something is essential to a functioning program we would just move forward.

Sias – can we “fast track” a position if something comes up? How firm is this list as of today?

Miner – this is about more than just what we can afford....some we must hire for

A large, stylized, black graphic element in the bottom left corner of the page. It consists of thick, flowing, calligraphic lines that form a shape resembling a signature or a logo. The lines are very bold and have a sense of movement, with some loops and curves. It is positioned in the lower-left quadrant of the page, partially overlapping the white background.

'

0%/*0'0%'

I ARPYGJT I 8*MA\$- C''309-\$

\$

Galope – needed representation from classified and faculty on WEFAC to re-institute the committee.

Olsen - presented to OPC and requests met all requirements and were approved.

Miner – we are looking for endorsement from PaRC.

Davison – thanked Shirley and Richard for welcoming Faculty Senate representation.

By a show of hands, vote to accept recommendation from WEFAC

MSC

14 yes

0 opposed

0 abstention

0%/*0'0%'

STAJMYBGJ: RT! BRJ\$- ! 6+-.\$

Miner - congratulated classified staff for Staff Appreciation Week. Our board adopted a resolution to designate, along with the California Legislator, the 3rd week of May as Classified Appreciation Week.

Starer – discussion last meeting that PaRC needs to prioritize what needs to get0000e91 what BT 1 T 7 22

