

PURPOSE:
LOCATION:
TIME:

Participatory Governance Leaders Meeting for the Educational Master Plan (EMP) Revise
 Administration Building / Room 1901 / President's Conference Room
 1:30 PM – 3:00 PM.

ITEM	TIME	TOPICS	LEADERS	EXPECTED OUTCOME
1	1:30-1:35	Welcome	John Spevack	
2	1:35-1:45	Review of Open Forum + Online Survey Feedback	Elaine Kuo	
3	1:45-2:30	Working with EMP Draft Goals (Revisions & Suggestions)	John Spevack	Action
4	2:30-3:00	Next Steps in the Planning Process for PaRC EMP Leadership Committee Discussion	Dan Rosenburg John Spevak	Action

!

they relate to the EMP and to explain how many goals are a good measure and how colleges can realistically move forward with such goal framework. John Spevak explained that there are many approaches and the number of goals is actually arbitrary (as many as are needed is realistic). He noted that at this point, there are 15 goals that the group wants to pursue and make the emphasis, but this should not limit the college from pursuing any additional initiatives just because it is not specifically mentioned in the goals framework (many things are just “understo

!

!

LEADERSHIP

(1) Articulate a clear vision and priorities for the College. **(B)**

FUNDING

(1) Increase advocacy at the state level, increase grants and private donations to secure stable and sustainable funding, and manage college resources strategically. **(B+)**

5. PRIORITIZATION DISCUSSION

Dan Rosenberg asked the group if leadership/vision really needs to be a stated goal - isn't that what we are already doing? Carolyn Holcroft and Kurt Hueg both expressed their concern that due to the fact there was so much rich discussion that led to these goals ...

!

!

Judy Miner suggested using the word _____ and have the language in the document support that. Each frame would then have multiple specific stated intentions that still honor the richness of the discussion but give greater guidance (particularly for student services) – the goal is to answer the “so now what?” question(s). Judy indicated that the discussion would continue over the summer along with consideration of the mission statement(s).

John Spevak and Dan Rosenberg thanked everyone for their participation.

MEETING ADJOURNED: 2:56PM

!