

- Administered via Microsoft Forms;
- comprised five questions: two rating scales, two multiple choice, and one open text response;
- no questions were "required";
-

year of the project. However, because the sample size is small and the survey results represent only one implementation cycle, they are not suited to serve as a stand-alone source; the survey results should be used in context and in combination with other data.

Summary of results


There were 27 responses to the survey, representing a 65% response rate, which is well above the average for email surveys. On average, respondents completed the five-question survey in just under three and a half minutes.

Question 1

How likely are you to recommend the 13-55 plenary meetings to a colleague?

This question is a widely used, validated item known as the Net Promoter Score[®]. The overall average rating given in response to this question was eight, which is considered a positive score for an NPS item.


Average responses among participants who reported attending at least seven of the 10 meetings were similar to those who attended six or fewer meetings, scores averaged 7.6 and 7.9 respectively.



Question 2

Please rate how useful the following topics were in your work on your 13-55 Action Plan this year.

Question 2 asked respondents to score five topics from the 13-55 Plenaries on a scale from, 1 – not at all useful, to, 5 – extremely useful. For two of the topics: “Localizing the 13-55 Issue and Goal” and “Reflecting on learnings at the end of the year,” the mode (i.e. most common response) was 5 (“extremely useful”). For the remaining three topics, the mode was 4 (“very useful”). The topic “Using the logic model framework to create an Action Plan” had the least number of 5 responses and the most 4 and 2 scores. The topic “Reflecting on learnings at the end of the year,” was the only topic that had responses in the 1 (“not at all useful”) category, suggesting a highly varied experience among participants with that topic.




Note, the survey was administered at the end of the academic year, thus the topic with the least amount of time for participants to experience/process prior to the survey was "Reflecting on learnings at the end of the year."

Question 3

In what ways was your participation in 13-55 Plenaries valuable to your work at Foothill?

This question was a multi-select, meaning participants could indicate as many as were applicable. For each of two options, a majority of respondents (19 of 27) selected them: "Working on our Action Plan sparked valuable conversation," and "I feel more comfortable engaging in discussions about the 13 Issues and 55 Goals." The fewest respondents (9 of 27) selected the outcomes: "Creating a poster for our project generated useful reflections," and "I feel more confident about strategic planning."



Question 4

How many of the 13-55 Plenary meetings did you attend (either all or in-part)?

The 13-55 Project implementation team members were invited to respond to the survey regardless of their participation level. There were 10 total plenary meetings. A majority of respondents (52%) reported attending 7 or more meetings. Twelve respondents (44%) reported attending 4 to 6 meetings. One respondent reported having attended one meeting.

Question 5

If there's anything else you'd like to share with the 13-55 plenary meetings?