# Foothill CollegeLateSpring2021 andLateSpring2022 CensusEnrollmentComparisons Report

FromLateSpring2021 and Lat&pring2022:

#### x Enrollment

- o Residentstudentenrollmentis down 17%, Non-Resident student enrollment is down %7
- o ResidentFTES is down % and NonResidentFTES is own 19%.
- o Overall, studentenrollment declined by 4,100, which is a 17% decrease.
- o Overall, estimated FTE Secrease dby 456, which is an 18% decrease.

Table 1. Census Enrollment Comparisons
Late Spring 2021 and Later Spring 2022

	26	<b>921</b> %						
			Enr	Est FTES	Enr	Est FTES	Enr	Est FTES
Resident	754	82	672	72	-3,718	-408	-17%	-18%
Non-Resident	93	10	82	9	-382	-48	-17%	-19%
Total	847	92	754	81	-4,100	-456	-17%	-

#### x OnlineLearning

- o Online learningestimatedFTE\$ down 31% at 81.
- o Onlinelearningheadcountincreasedrom 646to 691.
- o Onlinelearningenrollmentis down 11% from 847 to 754.
- o Onlinelearning sections ffered decreased from 36 to 20.

Table 2. Online Learning Census Enrollment Late Spring 2021 and Late Spring 2022

	1 0	1 3					
	2021	2022	Change	% Chan <b>g</b>			
Headcount	646	691	45	7%			
Course Enr	847	754	-93	-11%			
Est Wsch	4,143	3,654	-489	-12%			
Est FTES	92	81	-11	-12%			
Sections	36	20	-16	-44%			

Notes: WSCH estimate based on census enrollme

All Late Spring online sections are asynchronous and idehlifiethose ending in "W."

Source: FHRP

## x Resident Students

- o EnrollmentamongLateSpring2022residentstudentsis 672, adeclineof 11% from Late Spring2021 (754).
- o AB540enrollmentincreased by % from 23n LateSpring2021to 24in Late Spring2022, reflecting in <1% hangein FTES est \$21: 3 \text{LS22: 3}.

Table 3. Resident Enrollment and FTES Late Spring 2021 and Late Spring 2022

Late Spilit	g 2021 and Late C	spring 2022	
2021	2022	Change	% Change

- x Zip Code Grouping as Percent of Total Headcount
  - o As a percentage of total headcount, students from the service are increased from 22% to 23%. Students from the service area continue to repressing htly less han one-fourth of the headcount in Late Spring 2022
  - o Asapercentageof total headcount, students enrolled from "South Peninsula: Atherton, Belmont, E Palo Alto, Foster City, Menlo Park, Redwood City, San Carlos, San Matea sedby 2-percentageoints from Late Spring 2021 to Late Spring 2022

Table 8. Zip Code Grouping as a Percent Change of Total Headcount Late Spring 2021 and Late Spring 2022

		2021		2022
Zip Code Grouping	НС	% Total	НС	% Total
Service Area: Los Altos, LA Hills, Mt. View, Palo Alto, Stanford	74	11%	99	14%
Service Area: Cupertino, Sunnyvale, Santa Clara (part), San Jose (part), Saratogo	70	11%	61	9%
S Santa Clara County (SJ west 880/101)	70	11%	94	14%
E Santa Clara County (Alviso, Milpitas, SJ & 101)	61	9%	52	8%
W Santa Clara County (Campbell, Los Gatos, Monte Sereno, Santa Clara (rest of Saratoga (rest of))	17	3%	15	2%
Other Santa Clara County (Morgan Hill, Gilroy)	7	1%	7	1%
S Peninsula (Atherton, Belmont, E PA, Foster City, Menlo Randwood City, San Carlos, San Mateo)	68	11%	87	13%
W Peninsula (El Granada, Half Moon Bay, La Honda, Ladera, Montara, Moss Bea Pacifica, Pescadero, Portola Valley, Woodside)	0	0%	7	1%

x Headcount by County

- o SantaClara and San Mateo Counties had the highest enrollment by headaodrthelargest decrease in headcount (+5from LateSpring2021 to LateSpring2022
- o Together Santa Clara and San Mateo Counties represent that of total headcount in Spring 2021 (58%) an 6 pring 2022 (62%).
- o SanLuis Obispo-7) and Sacramento-4) Counties decreased at least otherd in headcount

## x AfricanAmerican Headcount by County

- o Almost half of Black students reside in Santa Clara Coulsu/249%), which represents an increase from last year (LS21: 30%).
- o Among the top 10 counties of originmongBlack students, there was 16% decrease in headcount between Lat&pring2021 and Lat&pring2022(-5 students).
- o Among the top 10 counties of origin among African Americaonstra Costa (-6), San Mateo (-4), and San Diego-(2) Counties experienced the largest headcount decreate).(-

Table 11. Top Black Student Enrollment by County
Late Spring 2021 and Late Spring 2022

		2021	2022	Change	% Change
Rank	Zip Code Grouping	НС	НС	HC	HC
1	Santa Clara	12	18	6	50%
2	Contra Costa	6	0	-6	-100%
3	San Mateo	5	1	-4	-80%
4	San Francisco	3	2	-1	-33%
5	Alameda	2	1	-1	-50%
6	Los Angeles	2	5	3	150%
7	San Diego	2	0	-2	-100%
	Total	32	27	-5	-16%

Total Late Spring 2021 Black HC=40 | Top Late Spring 2021 Black represents 80% of total headcount Total Late Spring 2022 Black HC=37 | Top Late Spring 2022 Black represents 73% of total head

Source: FH IRP

#### x Latinx Headcount by County

- o Santa Clara and San Mateo Counties represent over florumenths of Latinx headcount L(\$21: 77%; L\$2: 86%).
- o Among the top 10 counties of origin among Latinx students, Santa Clara and San Mateo Counties experienced the largest headcount incsea(+43).
- o Declines in Latinx students came from Ameda(-3), San Luis Obispe2), and Yolo-2) Counties Together these three conties represent a decline of Tudents (17to 10).
- o The remaining top counties where Latinx students reside remailand

Table 12. Top Latinx Enrollment by County
Late Spring 2021 and Late Spring 2022

	2021	2022	Change	% Change
Rank Zip Code Grouping	HC	HC	HC	HC
1 Santa Clara	79	99	20	25%
2 San Mateo	27	50	23	85%
3 Alameda	11	8	-3	-27%
4 Los Angeles	7	7	0	0%

5 RiversiRiv1 1 (at)-4 (e)-3 (o)

#### x First Generation Status

o First generation students decreased from 25 to 659 between Late pring 2021 and Late Spring 2022 (+49). This decrease represents a 37% head courtease

Table 13. First Generation Status Late Spring 2021 and Late Spring 2022

	2021		2022			
	НС	Percent	НС	Percent	Change	% Change
First Generation	132	23%	181	27%	49	37%
Non First Generation	440	77%	478	73%	38	9%
Total	572	100%	659	100%	87	15%

NCES defines first generation as a student whose parent(s) completed high school or less. Only reports those who responded to the questions.

Unknown First Generation HC: Late Spring 2021=74; Late 3p2022=32

Source: FH IRP

Χ

- x First Generation Headcount as a Percent of Disproportionately Impacted Student Groups
  - o African American and Latinx first generation headcount increased,bwi86Latinx students representing most of the growt(+27).
  - o First generation students represent madest half of Latinx population (

## x Low Income by Ethnicity

- o Low income Latingontinue to represent the largest student group among low income students, making upver one-third of these students (LS21: 40%; LS2: 43%).
- o Asian and White students representer onethird of low income students (35%), which is a decline from last yea(43%). Ninefewer students from these two population groups enrolled from LateSpring 2021 to Latespring 2022.

Table 17. Self-Reported Low Family Income by Ethnicity
Late Spring 2021 and Late Spring 2022

	2021		2022			
Ethnicity	НС	Percent	НС	Percent	Change	% Change
Asian	34	24%	26	17%	-8	-24%
Black	17	12%				

# x Pell Grant by Ethnicity

- o Latinx student represent the largest percentage of Pell grant recipies (which demonstrates an interase from Lat&pring2021 (42%).
- o Asianstudents represent the second largest group of stude