

Thursday August 19, 2021

Adrienne Hypolite	President	Present
Janie Garcia	President Elect	Present
Martha Rubin	Treasurer	Present
Erika Owens	Recorder	Present
Kathrine Lee	Recorder	Present
Chris Chavez	Classified Segment	Present
Danmin Deng	Classified Segment	Present
Alex Favela	Classified Segment	Present
Doreen Finkelstein	Classified Segment	Present
Al Guzman	Classified Segment	Not Present
Elvia Herrera		

week of each member serving as a Recorder as part of their regular duties also participates in governance as a council member or tri-chair on another council, this person will be able to opt for overtime for that week of service or a further six-hour reduction in the working week that the

CS was not comfortable signing off as it was written, wording was misleading.

3. Suggestion from Phong Tran to not specifically indicate Admin Assistant positions, because other positions cover the recorder position.
4. Chris Chavez mentioned that executive assistants are the ones who have it i

from a response from Simon.

5. Josh Pelletier spoke with Chris White from ACE regarding the different classifications, one thing she mentioned/suggested is CS recorders should not be counted as part of the Council structure if the recorder position is truly not part of the participatory governance since they are being assigned to the position as part of their work duties. The second option suggested is CS would appoint recorders to Councils.
6. Doreen Finkelstein mentioned that the information about the

beginning paragraph where it states Classified professionals are given a choice and may choose from any of the options

not be in option one. Also, suggested stating instead of within the agreement of saying yes, these groups are required to take option, there are Classified professionals that are under eight bargaining agreements that this falls within their regular duties. Leaving it up to ACE to have to determine who falls under that bargaining agreement.

7. No vote was taken for approval of this revision, will be back with new revisions.

ii. Addition to 2nd paragraph of the Classified Senate Section

1. take less than 100%, they will work with their manager/supervisor to determine what percentage of their regular duties can be assigned to a
2. Adrienne Hypolite suggested adding TEA
3. Christine Mangiameli
4. Vote for approval for revision was unanimous.

3. Shared Governance Redesign Task Force Update

a. President Nguyen Memo

- i. President Nguyen supports the Task Force and Cabinet members appointed are representing her and her interest with this Task Force but would be happy to if able to attend any of the Task Force meetings. She did stress that the structure/redesign must have campus wide approval.
- ii. Task force put together a response to her memo asking her to be more specific on what (the above) looks like. For example, do the members representing constituencies with ample feedback can make this decision and would that count as college wide approval? Or is there something more specific she is looking for that happened in the last redesign happened that she mentioned in her memo.
- iii. The stance as Classified Senate representatives is that we valued the collaboration that has occurred among the Task Force made up of students, Academic Senate and Administration.

b. Vision and Agreements

- i. Having a collective definition for shared governance for Foothill.
- ii. Having a list of pressing matters that must be addressed in fall quarter. .