Academic Senate Minutes January 11, 2021

DRAFT Minutes

the Agenda

- 1. Meeting called to order at 2:01 p.m.
- 2. Roll call

Kristy Lisle (absent) **Executive Committee** Kerri Ryer Mary Thomas Kurt Hueg (absent) Kathryn Maurer (President) Melissa Cervantes Matthew Litrus Eric Kuenhl (Vice President) Milissa Carey Guests Robert Cormia Mimi Overton (Secretary/Treasurer) Teresa Ong Rachelle Campbell (absent) Abhiraj Muhar Simon Pennington Alexis Aquilar Debbie Lee Stephanie Chan Brian Murphy (absent) Laurie Scolari Tracee Cunnigham Cara Miyasaki Thuy Nguyen Voltaire Villanueva David Marasco Priya Vasu **Senate Liaisons** David McCormick Valerie Fond Carolyn Holcroft Dixie Macias Adiel Velasquez Donna Frankel John Fox Ria Vidyasyar Josh Pelletier Jordan Fong

Announcements: Mary Anne Sunseri has had to resign as one of our part-time faculty representatives. We will seek to find a replacement for her as soon as possible, and fill her two-year position which ended in this academic year. Mary Anne was also a PT faculty rep on the Revenue & Resources Council, so we will need to replace her on that as well.

- 3. The agenda was adopted by consensus. Minutes from the December 7th meeting were approved by consensus.
- 4. Public comment: None.
- 5. Consent calendar: Kathryn decided to pull the consent calendar, and discussed the appointment of three members to the guided pathways Onboarding team. Guided Pathways also needs a communication co lead with release time and/or a stipend. Need faculty volunteers for meta majors, communications and technology and data teams. We need to appoint faculty to the search committee for associate VP of instruction. We also need a faculty for program review (reader). There is an active search committee for a full-time, non tenure track faculty member in psychological services identification of two grant funded positions, one for Foothill and the other for De Anza. It will be a Districtwide search committee. There was approval by consensus for appointments on the consent calendar
- 6. Thuy Nguyen, Foothill College president, joined the Academic Senate



There was a pending question from December about how to understand the part of the new Equity plan that discusses "structural change" (pp. 16-19). Some constituents voiced a desire for more particulars on how structural change would be enacted, and requested clarification/guidance on how to think about the extent to which this question could be addressed in the new equity plan, in its "strategic" scope. If these matters lie outside of the document's scope, then how does the plan foster continued discussion of these questions?

This document does derive from comments that come from the campus, so it should be congruent (document and sentiment).

Kathryn proposed that we form a quick short-lived group to go over the Equity document rather than go over the document in detail during the meeting today, and additionally understand what t is that we (Academic Senate) would be asked to support in an affirmation statement or resolution on 1/25/2021. Donna, Kerri, Alexis and Jordan will be working in a smaller group on the response to the Equity 2.0 document.

9. Student Letter Action Plan: Kathryn student letter sent on December 11, which had embedded the responses we approved at the December 7th meeting. In that letter, we had taken accountability for areas within our purview, and recommended what other bodies might take up the conversation. We then authored a follow-up letter to President Nguyen, sharing our requests for advocacy and support of our own action plan, as well as our desire to coordinate all the different conversations around the letter. Kathryn then showed a draft action plan in an attempt to stay on track and accountable with our commitments expressed in our response to the students. Counseling senators, Tracee Cunningham and Voltaire Villanueva, agreed to step in as leads for the Mental Health demands. Kathryn talked about the importance of having all the members of the executive committee involved in the various workgroups. She also left rows blank on purpose for us to continue to propose other actions we would like to take in support of the student letter. She asked for this to be considered a first read con 8BT/F1 11 Tf1 0 0 1 72-11()-11(g1(l)17(e)-(r)-7(st)5(-11(s)5(w)-4(e)-11()5(w)17