


# MEETING MINUTES

Date: June 20, 2020  
Time: 9:30-11:00 a.m.  
Loc: Zoom

## NOTES BY TOPIC


ITEM	TOPIC	DISCUSSION	OUTCOME	NEXT STEPS	*RESP
------	-------	------------	---------	------------	-------

Prepared by:



ITEM	TOPIC	DISCUSSION	OUTCOME	NEXT STEPS	*RESP
		T. Nguyen: We will continue to discuss. The Chancellor is			


Prepared by:




ITEM	TOPIC	DISCUSSION	OUTCOME	NEXT STEPS	*RESP
		<p>million. The State budget is heavily weighted with deferrals. It is a band-aid. Things could get much worse.</p> <p>M. Mohebbi: Last cuts were \$600K and the division office change was a huge mov BT 42 0 (</p>			

Prepared by:






ITEM	TOPIC	DISCUSSION	OUTCOME	NEXT STEPS	*RESP
		<p>Collaboration seems to have been redirected. Already, students are not feeling the focus is on them. Not talking about cutting the police budget...we are processing.</p> <p>A. Grewal: I do feel there is a disconnect. We at ASFC need to discuss on our own.</p> <p>A. Loo: We need to survey student ideas. Concerns over certain programs and appointments. What does the student body want?</p> <p>A. Muhar: I agree with Adam. We need to survey students on services and use. We need to include student voices.</p> <p>I. Escoto: Time is moving quickly. The Chancellor's Task Force did draft guiding principles, but there was an issue. We have two months to come up with very real numbers.</p>			




ITEM	TOPIC	DISCUSSION	OUTCOME	NEXT STEPS	*RESP
		<p>I. Escoto: Could we share our documents with AC/R&amp;R?</p> <p>D. Lee: E&amp;E and C&amp;C: Directions should be sent to both old and new membership.</p> <p>T. Nguyen: How do guiding principles lead us to concrete reductions?</p> <p>I. Escoto: I was hoping that applying guiding principles to the task would guide us. Overall document was to guide collaboration.</p> <p>E. Reed: Cutting the low-hanging fruit does not produce equity. We need to be able to point back to principles when taking decisions. If the principles point to collaboration, that is the route we should take.</p> <p>I. Escoto: Guided pathways was an approach to help inform collaboration. We did not want to conflate guided pathways with principles.</p> <p>K. Maurer: I understand that the Chancellor's Task Force decided on the shared approach was not the way to go. Focused on reductions which many felt could be to the detriment of our vision and goals (equity, etc.). I do not want us to lose sight of visioning. Urge councils not to separate visioning and budgeting.</p>			

Prepared by:




ITEM	TOPIC	DISCUSSION	OUTCOME	NEXT STEPS	*RESP
6	Program Elimination: Develop rubric to guide any potential program reduction decisions.	<p>K. Perino: The taskforce said we need to decide what we want our District to look like.</p> <p>T. Nguyen: What is critical to student success? Place it on the agenda.</p> <p>K. Hueg: I feel there is a disconnect between what the District says and does.</p>			
		<p>I. Escoto: Effects of Program elimination. Given Title V, responsibility on faculty. Summer Senate will be looking at program elimination procedures at other colleges. New committee structure no longer allows us to use the old process. We need to figure out how to move forward very quickly.</p> <p>K. Hueg: Is the Academic Senate going to take the lead? What governance groups will be involved?</p> <p>I. Escoto: AS will work on this with help from other constituencies.</p> <p>J. Garcia: Excellent idea researching what has already been done. Evergreen and SJCC enrollment would be good examples. Consolidation will rely on collaboration. We are going to need administrative support.</p> <p>A. Muhar: Fully support collaboration. It does not mean</p>			



ITEM	TOPIC	DISCUSSION	OUTCOME	NEXT STEPS	*RESP
		<p>perfect alignment, but I am in full support of this.</p> <p>J. Pelletier: I agree.</p> <p>T. Nguyen: Academic Senate is requesting primacy as per Title V – this does involve instructional programs. AS will lead the thought processes around program elimination. This is not discontinuance. This is elimination. Come back to the joint council with a proposal.</p> <p>I. Escoto: I will report back from AS.</p> <p>T. Nguyen: Lean on De Anza. They did eliminate programs. We should look at their process.</p> <p>D. Lee: Should instructional deans be part of this as ex-officios?</p> <p>I. Escoto: Yes.</p> <p>T. Nguyen: While AS is deliberating, maybe our next meeting could allow us to envision aloud. What does the new college look like?</p>			
7		<p>The group felt that we had made space for all voices to be involved.</p>	<p>Group agreed that they followed their guiding principles.</p>		

i





Administrator: Betsy Nikolchev

Classified Staff: Josh Pelletier, Danmin Deng, Itzel Sanchez Zarraga, Julie Ceballos

Faculty: Amy Edwards, Sara Cooper, Mary-Anne Sunseri, Kathy Perino

Students: Abhiraj Muhar, Arjun Grewal, Jasslie Altamirano, Addam Loo, Iman Haq

( "% ) ! " # \$ % & '

Ex-Officio: Kristy Lisle, Thuy Nguyen, Debbie Lee, Elias Regalado, Bret Watson, Mike Teijeiro, Chris Allen

Recorder: Simon Pennington

Facilitator: Simon Pennington

Prepared by: