Kaupp mentioned the group needs to determine whether field response will be cleared out for each edit, and believes important to acknowledge good equity-minded updates made during previous editing cycles.

Parikh suggested: "Please describe how your team has incorporated principles of equity in this COR." Believes this helps to imply work shouldn't be done by just one person. Kuehnl unsure if work typically done by a team; others noted should be a collaborative process and not taken on by just one faculty. Kaupp appreciates Morriss' feedback re: passive voice, noting mainly wants to avoid abrupt ending. Svetich noted "you" can be plural and believes adding "in this COR" to end of current wording is redundant. Agreed w/ Morriss' feedback, but believes clarity is most important when drafting wording. Vanatta suggested: "Please describe how you have incorporated principles of equity during this review cycle (or editing cycle)." Believes this avoids redundancy and acknowledges previous work done.

Kuehnl asked the group for their thoughts re: field response being cleared out each time faculty initiates edit. Parikh believes maintaining be

The group agreed