

Approved, January 18, 2022

Fine Arts rep mentioned recent situation in which dept. was ready for division CC to review their Map, but rep felt unprepared to consult w/ other divisions re: their courses. Believes administrators should be involved and it should not necessarily be the responsibility of the reps. Suggested that this aspect of the process approved last year be revisited. Kuehnl clarified that outside consultation is required only when the core courses for the program fall within another division/dept., and that reps shouldn't feel obligated to become involved if only

there aren't available job prospects or if wage growth is sluggish. Kuehnl agreed that this is an important discussion, re: do we want to approve a cert. if wage growth does not match a living wage in the region; but doesn't recall ever discussing this about any other new program and cautioned against scrutinizing this cert. in a way that others have not been. Vanatta noted that once cert. is approved by CCC it will go to BACCC for approval—new CTE programs do not get sent to FHDA board for approval until after approved by BACCC. Ong suggested bringing cert.

50% + 1. E

Noted it will be much easier to fully comply once everyone is back on campus. Kuehnl responded that there's not much chance of our getting in