- 1. Minutes: June 1, 2021
- 2. Report Out from Division Reps

Kuehnl announced that he will be continuing on as CCC Co-Chair for next year. Reminded the reps to please email him and/or Vanatta

courses, and will follow-up on this. Fong commented on literature aspect and whether faculty has discussed w/ English dept. Gilstrap noted HUMN proposals list summer 2022 and mentioned upcoming Friday deadline for CORs, plus tight timeline for submission to UC. Also commented on NCBS 449, which will mirror UC-transferable C S 49—will research possible articulation implications for students. Unsure how UC may respond re: students who take noncredit version followed by credit version, and will they allow credits to transfer.

b. CCC Meeting Dates for 2021-22

like a one-off process. DMS memo is an info item, but worthy of conversation. PSME rep teaches MATH 48A to Math Performance Success program students and noted that recently two students enrolled specifically because they are interested in the DMS program; rep concerned that clear information not being communicated to students and others. Believes that conversation needs to include how to communicate situation to students and impact on students. Subramaniam mentioned that DMS has not

8. ASCCC Consultation Follow-up

the past few years, but she is happy to discuss with them further.

Continuing discussion from previous meeting, about recent consultation with ASCCC regarding our local curriculum structure/processes. Following last meeting, Kuehnl received a few questions from reps, which he'd like to answer for the group. Recapped that ASCCC consultants stated that if we wish to continue our division CC structure, the division CCs must follow Brown Act. Kuehnl does not have all of the answers but will be following up over the summer so that we're ready for fall.

Received questions about division CC minutes—minutes will need to be recorded, by someone on the division CC, and sent to Vanatta (which is our current practice). No additional need to publish minutes on division website, as they are already published by Vanatta on CCC website. Vanatta noted that most divisions are really good about sending minutes, especially this year. Kuehnl mentioned creating template for minutes—Vanatta responded that template already exists, which some divisions do use, but not required. Noted that template might be outdated and offered to update it. D. Lee wonders if all faculty realize these changes are coming and suggested seeing if faculty at large interested in moving to a centralized structure. Acknowledged was not present at CCC when this topic was previously discussed. Kuehnl recalled that discussion a few years ago resulted in near unanimous consensus to keep division CC structure, but wasn't put to any sort of referendum with all faculty. Acknowledged that other faculty have questioned division CC structure. Topic could be brought back for further discussion in the future, as perhaps faculty will feel differently after they