LOCATION:	PresidentÕs Conference Room (1901
TIME:	9:00AM Ð1:00PM
FACILITATOR:	Andrew LaManque, Interim Vice President of Instruction & Institutional Research
GUESTS:	Kevin Harral, Rachelle Campbell, Bret Watson, Erin Ortiz, Judy Baker,
	Simon Pennington, Lori Silverman, Craig Gawlick, Lisa Ly, Teresa Ong, Rosa
	Nguyen, Josh Pelletier, Kurt Hueg,Mike Mohebbi, Lan Truong, Casie Wheat,
	Andrea Hanstein, Katherine Fortune Kamara Tramble, Carolyn Holcroft, Denise
	Swett, Paul Starer, Andrew LaManque, Elaine Kuo

Participants convened to review the selftudy dreets the Standard but there should be a

stronger connection discussing about how the mission drives planning. More evidence will be needed **b** document this discussion. The mission statement review and revision process follows the institutional six year planning calendar, but alignment with the EducationaMaster Plan update process appears less connected.

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>Standard IB</u>: There is copious evidencethat the college meets this Standardbut about half of the narrative in this section needs to be rafted in the coming week. Graphics demonstrating college governance and institutional planning and budget prioritization processes will also be updatedhwit support from Marketing so that these can be approved by the Planning and Resource Council (PaRC) for inclusion in the selfstudy. There are some gaps in the existing governance structure that will need to be addressed when crafting the QFEs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>Standard IC</u>: Roughly half of this section will need to be revised ven though the college meets the Standard Discussion occurred regarding the interpretation of this Standard, and efforts will be made to ensure that evidence to the standard is the primary focusit that the institution is documenting,

Standard IIIA: The section is completed, in editing stage.

Standard IIIB: